

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/633,215 08/01/2003 3241

7590

05/06/2004

Otto Ferguson III

LEEE 2 13230-2

ROBERT V. VICKERS FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & McKEE Seventh Floor 1100 Superior Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114-2579

EXAMINER

TOLAN, EDWARD THOMAS

ART UNIT 3725

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/633,215	FERGUSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tolan Edward	3725
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
•	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 12-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-30-2003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/633,215

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12-14,16-24 and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rushforth et al. (4,172,375). Rushforth discloses that wire is formed by molds (18) and withdrawn by means (22) comprising drive rolls (30) which send the wire into booms (24). A strand straightener and deflection means (100) is used to impart a cast onto the wire prior to coiling on spools (26). Straightening rolls (122) are used to straighten the wire and slide blocks (122,124) attached to the lower four rolls are used to deflect the wire at an angle from the vertical in order to impart a cast to the wire. In column 9, lines 51-65 Rushforth discloses that the wire has an S-shaped curvature and that a bend radius (R) is determinable. In column 10, line 45, Rushforth discloses that the bend radius is 4 feet.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3725

Claims 15 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rushforth et al. (4,172,375) in view of Corbin (4,949,567). Rushforth does not disclose varying a cast depending upon wire casting requirements and wire sizes. Corbin teaches (column 7, lines 38-47) that it is known to adjust rollers (78,80,82) in order to impart casting characteristics. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to move the rollers of Rushforth as taught by Corbin in order to impart different casting characteristics to the wire.

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rushforth et al. (4,172,375) in view of Reynolds (3,748,435). Rushforth does not disclose that the cast is retained on the wire as it is fed to a welding machine. Reynolds teaches that it is known to use roller (18) to adjust a radius of curvature of a wire after it leaves a spool (1). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to retain or adjust a cast in the wire leaving the spool of Rushforth as taught by Reynolds in order to use wire with a predetermined cast in a process.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ed Tolan whose telephone number is 703-305-3021. FAX communications should be sent to 703-872-9302.

ETT 5-3-04